Case: 1:08-cv-02755-LW Doc #: 145 Filed: 10/11/12 1 of 309. PageID #: 6353

## In The Matter Of:

Hodell-Natco Industries, Inc., v. SAP America, Inc., et al.

Jon Woodrum June 19, 2012

## NEXTGEN REPORTING

Making Litigation Easier.

PRILADELPHIA | 215.944.5800 | NEW YORK CITY | 646.470.3376 | PHOENIX | 623.224.2760 | SILICON VALLEY | 690.799.8020

Original File 06.19.12 Hodell-Natco Industries\_Inc.\_v. SAP America\_Inc.\_et al. Witness Jon Woodrum txt Word Index

Case: 1:08-cv-02755-LW Doc #: 145 Filed: 10/11/12 52 of 309. PageID #: 6404

## Jon Woodrum - June 19, 2012

			51
1	should?		
2	Q Yes.		
3	A Defi	nitely.	
4	Q Soj	ust to make sure I understand, does that	İ
5	mean that at t	hat time you believed that Hodell should	
6	leave Business One with In-Flight?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Did	that opinion ever change between then and	
9	now?		
10	A No.		
11	Q Doy	ou have any experience with Strike	
12	that.		
13	At t	he time you left Earnst and Associates, did	L
14	you work with any Business One customers?		
15	A No.		
16	Q Did	you work with any customers that were	
17	using any other SAP products?		
18	A No.		
19	Q Sob	roadening the time frame here, are you	
20	personally aware of any problems that Hodell has had		
21	with software at any time, other than the problems with		
22	Business One and In-Flight?		
23	A No.		
24	Q Okay	. I believe you said earlier that before	
25	you came to LS	i you were involved with the development	